

Car Park	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments	Officer comments
Brougham Hayes Car Park	1				Horrified that the cost of parking in the Brougham Hayes Car Park will be raised from £85 - £365 per year. Feels that there is a lack of available parking near property due to double yellow line markings so has no option but to seek alternative parking. Has accepted the current annual charge at Brougham Hayes but the large increase will really effect finances. This site while used as a car park, has open access to houses at the end of the site and could be considered as a thoroughfare not solely a specific car park. I understand that one of the arguments for increasing the charge for this car park is to bring it in line with other car parks in the area, and that the council consider off street parking to be safer and can reduce insurance premiums. But how can this argument hold true with an unsecured site such as Brougham Hayes car park?	The costs of all off street permits are being harmonised to reflect the similar costs for all car parks. The costs include Non Domestic Rates, maintenance and enforcement. Therefore it is recommended that all car parks are charged the same. The Council has no view on the security of vehicles as this would be an issue for the insurance companies involved. All users use the car park at their own risk.
Brougham Hayes Car Park	1				Wish to object to the huge increase in the annual charge from £85 to £365. Does not feel enough consultation was carried out with the current permit holders about this charge increase. Brougham Hayes car park was previously part of the gardens of Brougham Hayes which were sold to the council as part of alterations to the local road layout. The car park was intended to provide parking and access for residents, as part of the transfer of land. I believe the current permitting was applied primarily to deal with issues of non-residents using the car park with the 'not for profit' cost covering the administration and maintenance and hence is in line with the street parking permitting rates. I also believe the outcome was a result of consultation with the users, the current increase and changes seem far from the spirit of the original agreement. These changes will effect the value of my property.	The costs of all off street permits are being harmonised to reflect the similar costs for all car parks. The costs include Non Domestic Rates, maintenance and enforcement. Therefore it is recommended that all car parks are charged the same. Consultation was conducted as required under The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 with advertisements in the local press and within the car park for a period of 21 days.
Brougham Hayes Car Park	1				Horrified that the cost of parking in the Brougham Hayes Car Park will be raised from £85 - £365 per year. Feels that there is a lack of available parking near property due to double yellow line markings so has no option but to seek alternative parking. Has accepted the current annual charge at Brougham Hayes but the large increase will really effect finances. This site while used as a car park, has open access to houses at the end of the site and could be considered as a thoroughfare not solely a specific car park. I understand that one of the arguments for increasing the charge for this car park is to bring it in line with other car parks in the area, and that the council consider off street parking to be safer and can reduce insurance premiums. But how can this argument hold true with an unsecured site such as Brougham Hayes car park?	The costs of all off street permits are being harmonised to reflect the similar costs for all car parks. The costs include Non Domestic Rates, maintenance and enforcement. Therefore it is recommended that all car parks are charged the same. The Council has no view on the security of vehicles as this would be an issue for the insurance companies involved. All users use the car park at their own risk.

Car Park	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments	Officer comments
Brougham Hayes Car Park	1				Shocked that the cost of parking in the Brougham Hayes Car Park will be raised from £85 - £365 per year. Believes local residents and permit holders have not been properly notified. Brougham Hayes car park has had approx. 5 permanent cars parked in it this past year and is relatively empty most days so demand for permits cannot be justified.	The costs of all off street permits are being harmonised to reflect the similar costs for all car parks. The costs include Non Domestic Rates, maintenance and enforcement. The costs fall to the Council regardless of numbers of vehicles using the site. Therefore it is recommended that all car parks are charged the same.
Ashton Way	1				Object to the increase from 2 hours to 4 hours parking in Ashton Way. Since the closure of the Civic Centre car park from the 14th January due to the development of the centre, Keynsham town has lost approx. 11 2 hour car parking spaces. Since the closure the Tesco car park has been full on a number of occasions and there have been cars searching for space and queuing to get in on the approach roads. This can only get worse with 4 hour parking reducing the turn around time of some vehicles. I therefore ask that the order be delayed until the re-opening of the Civic Centre car park.	Comments noted. Under the proposals the car park remains designated as short stay (recognised nationally to be up to 4 hours) but allows more flexibility for users conducting business in the town. Due to the closure of the Civic centre more short stay parking is being conducted within Bath Hill East restricting availability for longer duration parking and therefore this compromise is deemed to be the best use of the available spaces. Furthermore, since the closure of the Civic Centre Ashton Way continues to have capacity within the car park during a majority of times.